

1 Abundance and diversity of archaeal ammonia oxidizers in a coastal groundwater system.

2 Daniel R. Rogers ^{1, 2, *}, Karen L. Casciotti ²

3
4 ¹Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry and ²MIT/WHOI Joint Program in
5 Chemical Oceanography, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole MA 02543.

6
7 ^{*}Corresponding author

8 ^{*}Present address: Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge,

9 MA 02318

10 phone: 508-289-3748

11 fax: 508-457- 2076

12 email: drrogers@fas.harvard.edu

13
14 Revised for *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

16 Abstract

17 Nitrification, the microbially-catalyzed oxidation of ammonia to nitrate, is a key process in the
18 nitrogen cycle. Archaea have been implicated in the first part of the nitrification pathway
19 (oxidation of ammonia to nitrite), but the ecology and physiology of these organisms remain
20 largely unknown. This work describes two different populations of sediment-associated
21 ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) in a coastal groundwater system on Cape Cod,
22 Massachusetts. Sequence analysis of the ammonia monooxygenase subunit A gene (*amoA*)
23 shows that one population of putative AOA inhabits the upper meter of the sediment where they
24 may experience frequent ventilation with tidally-driven overtopping and infiltration of bay water
25 supplying dissolved oxygen, ammonium and perhaps organic carbon. A genetically distinct
26 population occurs deeper in the sediment, in a mixing zone between a nitrate- and oxygen-rich
27 freshwater zone and a reduced, ammonium-bearing salt water wedge. Both of these AOA
28 populations are coincident with increases in the abundance of Group I crenarchaeota 16S rRNA
29 gene copies.

Introduction

Delivery of excess nutrients to coastal ecosystems can result in a myriad of deleterious effects ranging from excessive or harmful algal blooms to loss of sea grass habitat, hypoxia, and loss of fish stocks (78). Terrestrially derived nitrogen in the form of ammonium (NH_4^+) or nitrate (NO_3^-) is transported to the coastal ocean through runoff and riverine inputs, but up to half of the nitrogen may travel through groundwater (36, 48, 57). While rivers amount to point sources of nitrogen and other solutes to the coastal ocean and are amenable to direct investigation, discharge of groundwater is a more diffuse source of nutrients to the coastal ocean, which is difficult to track. Importantly, groundwater systems can be chemically quite different than river systems and thus harbor different biological communities and biogeochemical processes (49). However, due to the large spatial and temporal variability as well the remote and difficult to sample nature of the groundwater/sediment matrix, the role of groundwater in coastal biogeochemistry has until recently been understudied and underappreciated. In an effort to better understand the initial stages of nitrogen transformation in a coastal groundwater system we examined the microbial communities and more specifically the populations of ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms, in an anthropogenically influenced coastal groundwater system in Cape Cod, Massachusetts.

Reduced nitrogen is often introduced to groundwater as NH_4^+ or organic nitrogen that is subsequently catabolized to NH_4^+ . Nitrification is the microbially-mediated oxidation of ammonia (NH_3) to nitrite (NO_2^-) and NO_3^- , which may ultimately be removed from the system by reduction to dinitrogen by denitrification or anaerobic ammonia oxidation (anammox). The rate-limiting step in the nitrification process is the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite. For many years, it was thought that ammonia oxidation was carried out exclusively by two groups of

obligately aerobic autotrophs known as ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), which are found within the beta- and gamma-Proteobacteria (31, 58, 59). The populations of these organisms can be characterized by the genes that encode ammonia monooxygenase, an enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of ammonia to hydroxylamine (32, 59, 69). The most often used genetic marker for this metabolism is the ammonia monooxygenase subunit A gene (*amoA*) that codes for the active site of the enzyme.

The discovery of an *amoA*-like gene sequence on a genomic fragment associated with a ubiquitous group of marine archaea (84), has prompted a reconsideration of the role of archaea in the nitrogen cycle and specifically the biogeochemistry of ammonia oxidation. Subsequently, markers for these organisms have been found in a variety of settings, including high temperature vents (17, 87), terrestrial soils (30, 40, 66, 80), marine sediments (19, 23), open ocean waters (23, 64, 86), and oxygen minimum zones (15, 38) as well as a large number of coastal waters including estuaries (3, 8, 50) and groundwater systems (65). Recent reports argue that these ubiquitous organisms may even represent a new phylum of Archaea known as the Thaumarchaeota (71). Understanding how these organisms are distributed in the environment, as well as what drives their distribution, i.e., their physiology and ecology, will lead to a better understanding of the controls on nitrogen cycling and nitrogen removal in the environment. The current study aims to address how ammonia oxidizers are distributed throughout a coastal groundwater system in relation to the gradients in geochemical variables.

Materials and Methods

Study Area and Sample Collection

The groundwater system at the Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (41°34'49" N, 70°31'27" W, Waquoit, MA USA; Figure 1) consists of outwash gravel, sand and silt deposited during the retreat of the Wisconsin glaciation (53). The upper 10 m of sediment are homogeneous and highly permeable, providing conduits for transport of fresh groundwater toward the bay and tidally-forced saltwater intrusions (13).

One-third of the fresh water entering Waquoit Bay comes from direct discharge of groundwater, while over 50% comes from ground water channeled into streams (9). Water recharges the groundwater quickly due to the high permeability of the surface soils, which are composed of coarse grain sands (95%, mostly 125 to 500 μm) and silts (5%) (13). Below the surface sand unit a matrix of fine grain sands and silts occurs to a depth of 45 m (51). The groundwater is unconfined, resting on bedrock located 100-125 meters below sea level (45, 54). Water flow through the surface region is predominantly horizontal, proceeding toward the bay with an average seepage rate at the head of the bay of 5-10 cm d^{-1} during high-tide and increasing to 10 - 40 cm d^{-1} at low-tide (51).

A long-term monitoring program for nutrient advection into the bay has been established at this site (43, 45, 81), and the Waquoit Bay groundwater system has been the focus of several studies addressing the importance of the groundwater discharge into the bay for trace metal, nutrient, and pollutant budgets (11, 68, 76, 77, 82).

Groundwater and Sediment Sampling

Groundwater was collected in June 2008 at the head of Waquoit Bay to a depth of 4 m (coinciding with the top of the salt wedge) using a piezometer with a stainless steel “Retract-a-tip” (AMS, Idaho). The piezometer was driven through the sediment using a hammer-drill with a vertical resolution of 15-10 cm. At each sampling depth, water was gently pumped to the surface using a Geopump Series I peristaltic pump (Geotech, Denver, CO) and nylon tubing. After slowly flushing with at least one liter of groundwater (more than 6-fold flushing of tubing volume), pH, dissolved oxygen, salinity and temperature were measured using a YSI 600R multi-probe calibrated following the manufacturer’s protocols (10). Salinity is reported on the Practical Salinity Scale with appropriate units (Practical Salinity Unit, PSU).

At each sampling depth, triplicate groundwater samples were filtered through a 0.2 μm pore-size Sterivex filter, collected in 60 mL HDPE bottles and stored on ice for subsequent analyses of NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and NH_4^+ concentrations. Samples were analyzed within 12 hours of sampling, upon return to the laboratory. Nitrite concentrations were measured colorimetrically using sulfanilamide and N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine (56). Nitrate plus nitrite (NO_x) concentrations were measured by chemiluminescence following a hot vanadium reduction (16, 25, 26). Ammonium was measured using the phenolhypochlorite method (70).

Guided by the field measurements of the groundwater composition, a sediment core was collected within < 2 hr (ca. slack low-tide) and 0.5 m of the piezometer site using a hand-operated bailer-boring auger (Eijkelpkamp, The Netherlands) to a depth of four meters. Sediment from the bailer was laid on sterilized aluminum foil and 1-5 g of sediment was aseptically

subsampled with a vertical resolution of 30-50 cm. Sediment samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C in the laboratory for later DNA extraction and analysis.

DNA Extraction

DNA extractions were performed in triplicate from 1 g of sediment using lysozyme (100 mg mL⁻¹) and proteinase K (20 mg mL⁻¹) digestions followed by physical disruption by successive freeze-thaw cycles and phenol:chloroform:isoamyl extraction (20). Extracted DNA was precipitated using isopropyl alcohol and 3 M sodium acetate with final resuspension in 50 µL of nuclease-free water or Tris-EDTA buffer (TE). The extracts were quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and diluted using nuclease-free water to appropriate concentrations (see below) for amplification and quantification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods.

Quantitative PCR

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was employed to determine the gene copy numbers of bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA and *amoA* genes throughout the core. Triplicate DNA extractions of each sample were diluted to 10 ng µl⁻¹ and amplified using either the iQ SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) or a cocktail consisting of 1 X colorless master mix (Promega, Madison, WI), 10 nM dNTPs (Promega), 0.5 X SBYR-Green I (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA), 20nM fluorescein (Sigma), 1 mM MgCl₂ (Promega), forward and reverse primers (300-1000 nM; Table 1) and 1.25 units of GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase (Promega). Four different sets of qPCR primers (23, 47, 80, 86) were used to analyze the abundance of archaeal *amoA* (Table 1, supplemental Figure S1). All qPCR amplifications were repeated on three separate days with optimized thermal programs

(Table 1) using an iCycler thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). qPCR efficiencies ranged from 88 - 105% for all reactions resulting in linear standard curves ($r^2 = 0.90 - 0.99$).

Standard curves were constructed from serial dilutions (10^7 to 10^1 copies μL^{-1} plus a water blank) of linearized p-Gem T-Easy plasmids (Promega) containing inserts of the gene of interest (Table 1). Plasmids ($0.5 \mu\text{g } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$) were linearized by digestion with the EcoRI (New England Biolabs) restriction enzyme in the supplied buffer (2 hr at 37°C , heat inactivation 20 min. at 65°C). Restriction digests were cleaned using the SV Wizard PCR purification kit (Promega), eluted in $30 \mu\text{L}$ and quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher). Melt curves were performed on all reactions to confirm specificity.

PCR, Cloning and Sequencing

Clone libraries were constructed for the archaeal 16S rRNA and *amoA* genes from 0.5 m, 1 m, 2 m, 2.5 m, 3 m and 4 m. Briefly, targets were amplified using published protocols and primers (Table 1, and references therein) and the products of three separate amplifications were pooled for each gene at each depth. The pooled products were cleaned using the SV Wizard PCR Clean-up kit (Promega), followed by ligation into the p-Gem T-Easy vector and transformed into the host *E. coli* (JM109) following the manufacturer's protocols (Promega). Plasmid purification and sequencing were performed at the Keck Facility, Josephine Bay Paul Center at the Marine Biological Laboratories (JBPC-MBL, Woods Hole, MA). Sequencing chemistry followed a modified Big-Dye reaction containing $0.5 \mu\text{L}$ of Big-Dye Terminator, $0.4 \mu\text{L}$ of primer (M13R 5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC, $15 \mu\text{M}$), $0.1 \mu\text{L}$ dimethyl sulfoxide, $1/3 \times$ reaction buffer, template (200-400 ng DNA) and water to a final volume of $6 \mu\text{L}$. The recommended thermal

protocol of 60 cycles of 96 °C for 10 s, 50 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 4 min was used for sequencing.

Sequence analysis

Base calling and sequence quality checks were performed by the Phred and Phrap software packages (21, 22). The Lucy software (14) was used for vector trimming. Processed sequences were searched against the non-redundant nucleotide (nr/nt) database using the blastn algorithm. 16S rRNA gene sequences were imported into ARB (41), aligned with the ARB aligner, manually checked and corrected, then added by parsimony to an ARB alignment from Greengenes. *amoA* genes were also imported into ARB, aligned and added by parsimony to an alignment of archaeal *amoA* gene sequences from the GenBank database (4). Conservative column filters were constructed masking any sites missing data for any of the sequences. Trees were constructed on aligned sequences using the ARB neighbor joining function.

Sequence Accession Numbers

All sequences generated and used in this study have been reported to GenBank under the accession numbers HM160162-HM160497.

Results

Environmental Context

Long-term chemical monitoring has helped to elucidate the biogeochemical setting within the Waquoit Bay subterranean estuary (11-13, 37, 51, 76). The most prominent features observed during the current study include (1) a surface salt layer (salinity up to 4 PSU) with low

dissolved oxygen ($<50\ \mu\text{M}$), nitrate ($<50\ \mu\text{M}$) and ammonium ($<5\ \mu\text{M}$) concentrations, (2) an intermediate oxic freshwater layer with high levels of both nitrate (up to $350\ \text{mM}$) and dissolved oxygen (up to $175\ \mu\text{M}$), and (3) an underlying deep, anoxic reducing salt wedge that lacked nitrate but contained up to $53\ \mu\text{M}$ ammonium (Figure 2A). These zones provide a variety of niches that could harbor distinct microbial communities adapted to different salinities, oxygen levels, or nutrient concentrations. While dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was not measured in the current study, previous work at a nearby site within Waquoit Bay (approximately 600 m east of the current study site), showed low (less than $50\ \mu\text{M}$) DOC concentrations in the fresh ground water, with variable but generally higher concentrations in brackish surface sediments ($50\text{-}750\ \mu\text{M}$) as well as in the deep salt wedge ($100\text{-}200\ \mu\text{M}$) (12).

16S rRNA Gene Abundance

The abundance of bacterial 16S rRNA genes ranged from 3.9×10^8 to 1.3×10^9 copies per gram of sediment with the maximum bacterial 16S rRNA gene abundance observed at two meters depth (Figure 2B). Archaeal 16S rRNA gene abundance was comparable with bacterial 16S rRNA genes in the upper 1.7 meters (4.8×10^8 to 7.3×10^8 copies per gram of sediment). Below 1.7 meters, the archaeal 16S rRNA gene abundance increased gradually, reaching a maximum (6.3×10^9 copies per gram of sediment) at three meters depth.

Taking a closer look at the archaea using qPCR primers specific to the Group 1 (also known as Marine Group I, MG1) archaea (47), we found that the abundance of MG1 (4.5×10^4 to 9.8×10^6 copies g^{-1} sediment) was approximately one percent of the total archaeal 16S rRNA gene abundance determined by qPCR at all but the shallowest depths (Figure 2C). The 16S

rRNA gene abundance of MG1 Crenarchaea showed two peaks in the sediment column: one within the surface salt layer and the second at a depth of 2.8 m at the deep salt transition zone.

Archaeal 16S rRNA Gene Diversity

The archaeal 16S rRNA genes recovered from the sediments belonged to both the Euryarchaeota and Crenarchaeota subdivisions, with roughly equal contributions from the Euryarchaeota (36 out of 84, 43%), and the Crenarchaeota (48 out of 84, 57%), for which MG1 Crenarchaeota accounted for the majority of the sequences (Figure 3). Therefore, in contrast to the qPCR results, which showed MG1 abundance to be approximately 1% of total archaea, the archaeal clone libraries were approximately 50% MG1. As discussed below, this discrepancy may be the result of primer biases in PCR amplification and/or qPCR protocols or sampling biases in the clone libraries.

Crenarchaeota sequences were recovered from all depths, and they were mostly (37 out of 48, or 77%) *Cenarchaeales*-like sequences (which includes *Nitrosopumilus maritimus*). Sequences were also recovered from the pSL12 (4 out of 48, or 8%) and the SAGMA (South African Gold Mine Archaea; 7 of 48, or 15%) subgroups of the Crenarchaeota. Both MG1 and pSL12 clades have been implicated in nitrification (29, 35, 47), but it is unknown whether SAGMA-like organism harbor *amoA* genes. Related 16S rRNA sequences have been found in many environments including surface marine waters, Antarctic bathypelagic and other deep marine sediments (27), uranium mine tailings (75), and agricultural soils (44).

Archaeal *amoA* Gene Distributions

The results from all four qPCR primer sets targeting archaeal *amoA* genes (Table 1, Figure S1) provided reproducible (self-consistent) data under the recommended amplification conditions. Moreover, all four primer sets yielded the same range of abundances for the archaeal *amoA* gene abundance throughout the upper half of the sediment core with minor differences between the Treusch et al. primers and the others deeper in the sediments (Figure S2). Given the general consistency between the Wuchter et al. (86), Mincer et al. (47), and Francis et al. (23) primer sets throughout the sediment core, we have chosen to present our *amoA* gene abundance data as obtained by the Francis et al. (23) primer set for the remainder of the discussion.

Like MG1 abundance, archaeal *amoA* genes occurred in high abundance in the surface meter (8.3×10^6 copies per gram of sediment) and at 2.8 m (1.1×10^7 *amoA* copies per gram of sediment) in the core (Figure 2D). Moreover, the ratio of archaeal *amoA* gene abundance to MG1 16S rRNA gene abundance was close to 1 throughout most of the sediment column (Figure 2C), consistent with genomic evidence for 1 *amoA* gene copy per AOA genome (47, 85). Rather than corresponding directly to particular environmental parameters, these peaks in archaeal *amoA* gene abundance appeared in or near transitions between fresh and saline groundwater, which may correspond to regions of periodic availability of O₂, NH₃, and dissolved organic carbon (46).

Bacterial *amoA* Gene Distribution

Bacterial *amoA* abundance was low (1.3×10^3 - 3.3×10^4 copies per gram of sediment) throughout much of the sediment column, with a small peak (6.0×10^5 copies per gram of

sediment) occurring at 2 m (Figure 2D). In this peak within the core of the freshwater layer, bacterial *amoA* accounted for approximately 80% of the total *amoA* genes. Elsewhere, bacterial *amoA* was less than 1% of the total *amoA* gene abundance.

Archaeal amoA Gene Clone Libraries

The archaeal *amoA* gene clone libraries (consisting of 343 clones total: 92 from 1 m, 96 from 2 m, 86 from 3 m and 69 from 4 m) from the sediments revealed two genetically distinct communities within the system. The first community was mostly found in the upper two meters of the sediments and a second community between two and four meters within the sediments.

The *amoA* genes recovered from the upper two meters clustered primarily with Water Column A and B sequences within the archaeal *amoA* phylogeny (Figure 4) (3, 23). This group includes sequences from open ocean and coastal waters, as well as estuarine sediments (3, 50), and the sequences from Waquoit Bay were most similar to sequences from the low-salinity sediments of North San Francisco Bay (50). Below two meters, the recovered *amoA* genes were distributed within a cluster of archaeal *amoA* genes obtained from sediment, soil and groundwater (30, 83).

Discussion

Many studies concerning nitrogen biogeochemistry in coastal groundwater focus on the role of denitrification as a mechanism of fixed nitrogen loss (1, 39) with less consideration for how this process fits in the broader context of nitrogen transformations. Traditionally, nitrification and denitrification have been thought to occur in separate niches due to the incongruent environmental requirements of the processes. However, tightly coupled nitrification

and denitrification is thought to be important for nitrogen removal where appropriate environmental conditions are separated by small spatial scales. Estuarine systems, where strong redox gradients compress the spatial separation of these two processes, represent one area where tightly coupled nitrification and denitrification may occur (34, 61). Groundwater systems where terrestrial derived freshwater and infiltrating saline waters mix represent another setting where nitrifying and denitrifying niches may overlap.

Near the head of Waquoit Bay, MA lies a zone where fresh groundwater mixes with intruding saline bay water to form a subterranean estuary. Three distinct layers of are present in the upper 4 m of the subterranean estuary where the sediments for the current study were obtained (Figure 2A): (1) A surface salt zone within the upper meter of sediments overlying a (2) freshwater layer that is underlain by a (3) deep salt wedge. These layers are separated by transitions marked by gradients in salinity, oxygen, ammonium, nitrate, dissolved organic carbon and other redox-sensitive constituents (12, 37, 76).

Previous studies have modeled and/or measured the fluxes of nitrogen at different points within the Waquoit Bay subterranean estuary (37, 72). These studies have suggested that coupled nitrification and denitrification may occur in both at the surface and deep salt transition zones, where waters containing ammonium and oxygen mix. Here we have used molecular techniques to describe the microbial communities residing on or within the sediments and examine whether these communities indeed contain the genetic potential for nitrification. We specifically examined the communities of AOA and AOB, focusing on the changes in their abundance and community structure in the different zones of the Waquoit Bay subterranean estuary.

Surface Salt Zone

The uppermost water layer within the Waquoit bay subterranean estuary is a mixture of groundwater and bay water that overtops and percolates through the coarse grain sands. This tidally-induced mixing is thought to be rapid given the estimated residence time of this water of hours to days based on the hydraulic gradient, offshore seepage-meters, and reaction and transport modeling (46). Nitrate and oxygen are present in this layer, with concentrations decreasing between 0.5 m and 0.9 m; in contrast, ammonium is only occasionally observed in this layer. Nitrate and ammonium are likely a product of local organic matter degradation or sediment desorption rather than influx from the bay given that the concentration in the bay water of both solutes is low (<2 and <5 μM respectively, 76). The wide range of DOC concentrations reported (7, 12) in the upper meter of the groundwater system also suggests that this is a dynamic region of the sediment and that the microbial community within this zone may be exposed to a broad range of DOC, O_2 , and nutrient availability.

The microbial community within this depth interval is apparently comprised of equal numbers of bacteria and archaea, and abundances for both groups are similar to those reported for marine surface sediments (67). However, the discrepancy in the proportion of total archaea that MG1 represents based clone libraries vs. qPCR suggests that total archaeal abundance measurements may be overestimated by qPCR. This could be a result of analytical biases (i.e. low primer specificity using the mixture of archaeal qPCR forward primers potentially resulting in non-specific amplification) or isolation of extracellular DNA preserved by attachment to mineral surfaces or clays (18, 62). Because there is a good correlation between the abundance of MG1-like 16S rRNA genes and archaeal *amoA* genes, maintaining a 1:1 ratio throughout much of the sediment column (Figure 2C), this gene ratio being consistent with genomic (17, 35) and environmental (2, 47, 86) observations of AOA, we believe that the MG1 16S rRNA gene

abundances may be more reliable than the total archaeal 16S rRNA gene abundance estimates. If this is the case, the total abundance of archaea may be lower than bacteria throughout the core.

The relation between MG1 16S rRNA and archaeal *amoA* gene abundances indicates a potential population of crenarchaeal ammonia-oxidizers. The peak in archaeal *amoA* gene abundance in the surface meter is consistent with results from a reactive transport model that predicted elevated nitrification rates in the upper meter of the sediment column due to the dispersive mixing of ammonium and oxygen between the overtopping bay water and advecting freshwater (73). Our data suggest a potential nitrifying population resides in these surface sediments and that the bulk of the population is archaeal rather than bacterial. It is possible that this population represents organisms that are washed into the sediments from the surface bay waters and that the diminishing abundance in the upper meter is a result of filtering through the sands. Activity studies, such as the consumption of added ammonium or isolation of *amoA* mRNA, are needed to determine whether this is an active population of AOA.

Freshwater Plume

The second layer of water encountered was a terrestrially-derived fresh groundwater plume flowing laterally through the strata toward the bay. The AOA abundance declined within this layer, while AOB reached peak abundances, resulting in an ammonia-oxidizing population dominated by bacteria. This layer is replete in both nitrate and oxygen but low in ammonium content. In other regions of the bay and in more landward sections of the groundwater system, a terrestrially-derived, plume carrying a large supply of ammonium ($>100\ \mu\text{M}$) and reduced metals (Fe^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , etc.) has been observed within this freshwater layer, mainly during times of high groundwater recharge, such as the early spring (12, 63, 77). This reduced, ammonium-rich plume

was not observed at our site in June 2008, although periodic influxes of ammonium derived from inland sources may fuel nitrification at this depth at other times of the year. The AOB population could also exploit a tightly controlled release of ammonium from carbon degradation, or mobilization from the sediment matrix. However, DOC concentrations are routinely less than 50 μM in the fresh groundwater (12). Further investigation is required to determine if and when the AOB population is active at this depth and what factors control that activity.

Deep Salt Wedge Transition

The transition zone between the freshwater layer and the deep salt wedge is the location of the second peak in archaeal *amoA* gene abundance (Figure 2D). This transition represents a mixing zone between the freshwater layer flowing toward the bay containing oxygen and nitrate and the reduced intruding salt water containing ammonium and most likely DOC (12). Mixing between the two sources of water in this zone is therefore expected to supply ammonium, oxygen, and DOC to the microbial community. While the depth of the deep AOA peak is not perfectly coincident with the salinity gradient, fluctuations in the depth of the deep salt-wedge due to changes in hydraulic head and tidal pumping have been observed or modeled at nearby sites (13, 37, 72). In this system, the distribution of sediment-associated microbes may reflect an average, rather than instantaneous, groundwater condition.

Based on previous measurements, DOC content is expected to increase as salinity increases into the deep salt wedge, approaching 100 to 150 μM in the upper part of the deep salt wedge (12). In the Charette et al. (2006) data set there was a small deviation from the straight mixing curve for DOC (linear fit $r^2 = 0.72$) in the transition zone where salinity increases from ca. 0.5 to 20 PSU, indicating a potential loss of carbon in the deep salt transition. The 2006 data

set also suggests a loss of oxygen over this same region (linear fit $r^2 = 0.58$). While heterotrophic organisms may contribute to these losses in DOC and dissolved oxygen the presence of O_2 , DOC, and NH_4^+ could produce a favorable environment for (potentially mixotrophic) ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (29, 33, 55). Below we examine the potential relationship between this chemical data and the ammonia-oxidizing populations.

AOA vs. AOB

Three populations of ammonia oxidizers are present in the groundwater system: a shallow AOA population, an intermediate AOB population, and a deep AOA population that is genetically distinct from the shallow population. The AOA populations are observed in mixing zones where ammonium, oxygen, nitrate, and most likely DOC are dispersively mixed, whereas the AOB population is largely contained within the relatively stable core of the freshwater plume. Recent reports have examined spatial patterns in the distribution of the archaeal and bacterial *amoA* genes in relation to ammonium and organic carbon concentrations, salinity fluctuations, and other chemical loads (5, 6, 23, 24, 50). Caffrey et al. (8) reported a relationship of archaeal *amoA* gene abundance to salinity in several estuaries. Relative changes in abundance between AOB and AOA have also been found to correlate with C:N (50), salinity and oxygen (3, 50, 65), as well as trace metal availability (lead and nickel) (8, 50). AOB have been found to correlate positively with salinity (for example 50, 65). Based on these studies, AOB might be expected to be more abundant in the surface salt layer and the transition to the deep salt wedge due to the increase in salinity in these zones. However, this was not the case in our study. Of course these environmental parameters do not vary in isolation, for instance C:N ratios and salinity may co-vary (for example 50), obscuring any relationship between the environmental

parameter and the microbiota. In the present study, abundance of sediment-associated AOA or AOB did not show a strong ($r^2 > 0.50$) correlation with any of the simultaneously measured groundwater parameters (O_2 , salinity, NO_3^- , NO_2^- , NH_4^+ , pH, or redox potential). Instead, peaks in AOA abundance occurred within the gradients of fresh/salt transition zones, which may shift relative to the depth in the sand depending on hydraulic gradients and tidal pumping (46).

AOA and AOB may have different abilities, as suggested by recent studies, to fill different niches. The report of potential mixotrophy in *Cenarchaeum symbiosum* (29) and planktonic archaea (33, 55) hint at the potential plasticity of the metabolic capabilities, which may allow AOA to thrive in dynamic environments such as mixing zones where DOC and mobilized ammonium may periodically be introduced (e.g. into both the surface meter and the transition to the deep salt wedge). Mixotrophic AOA might be more competitive than the obligately autotrophic AOB in these zones. It is also possible that the AOA are better adapted to compete for ammonium in these dynamic regions of the groundwater system that more consistently see low oxygen levels. *Nitrosopumilus maritimus* SCM1 has been shown to have a high affinity for ammonium, as much as 200-fold higher than AOB, and a low substrate threshold of 10 nM NH_4^+ or less (42).

Interestingly, the *amoA* gene phylogenies from the surface meter and the deep salt wedge transition zone reveal that these populations represent two distinct communities (Figure 4). Sequences of *amoA* genes recovered from transition to the deep salt wedge suggest that this population closely resembles a soil/sediment community, while *amoA* gene sequences obtained from the upper meter are similar to sequences recovered from low-salinity, low nutrient, estuarine sediments (23, 50). These results are consistent with the environments from which they were drawn and strengthen the idea of environmental niches within the AOA being reflected in

the *amoA* phylogeny. Ecological niche separation for AOA, based on *amoA* gene phylogenies, has been suggested previously, especially for water column derived sequences (2, 29, 47, 64). A recent report by Santoro et al. (2010) supports this idea of niche separation by showing differences in distribution of the “shallow-adapted” Cluster A and “deep-adapted” Cluster B *amoA* gene abundance and transcriptional activity in a transect through the California Current. This niche separation is not limited to the two water column clusters. Soil-derived *amoA* gene sequences have been reported to occur in horizon-specific clusters, suggesting phylogenetic distinctions may be related to environmental conditions (pH, Eh, etc.) (30).

It is important to note that while archaeal nitrification within the groundwater system may seem to be focused in specific mixing zones, these zones ought to converge as the water flows toward the bay and the layer of fresh groundwater thins (9). In fact, most of the discharge of groundwater to the bay is saline in composition, a result of the mixing of the terrestrially-derived freshwater with bay water prior to discharge. It could be expected that most fresh groundwater may be exposed to an AOA population prior to discharge, when it is recalled that each zone where bay water and freshwater mix, i.e., the surface salt zone and the transition to the deep salt wedge, harbor large populations of AOA. Future work will address the activity of these communities and examine the potential of denitrification in these zones to yield a better understanding of how nitrogen is cycled and removed from this anthropogenically influenced groundwater system.

Acknowledgements.

Special thanks to Erin Banning, Matt McIlvin, Paul Henderson, Kevin Kroeger, Laura Erban and James Saenz for field and laboratory support. Helpful discussions and critiques from

432 all members of the Casciotti lab, Sievert lab, Charette lab and our anonymous reviewers are
433 gratefully appreciated. We are also grateful for the assistance of Chris Wiedman, Mary-Kay Fox
434 and others at the Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve. Funding was provided by
435 WHOI's Coastal Ocean Institute to DRR and KLC, as well as funding from NSF/OCE project
436 #05-24994 to KLC.
437

438 Table 1. Primers and Methods Used in this Study

Target Gene	Primer Names And Sequences	Thermal Program	qPCR Efficiency	Positive Control	Reference
Bacterial 16S rRNA (sequencing only)	8F (400nM)- AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 1492R (400nM)- GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT	94°C for 120sec. 30 cycles of 94°C for 30sec 47°C for 60sec 72°C for 60sec Final extension of 72°C for 600sec		<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i>	(28)
Bacterial 16S rRNA	Bac1369 (1000nM)- CGGTGAATACGTTTCYCGG Prok1541 (1000nM)- AAGGAGGTGATCCRGCCGCA	50°C for 120sec 94°C for 120sec 40 cycles of 94°C for 15sec 59°C for 60sec	88-90%	<i>P. stutzeri</i>	(74)
Archaeal 16S rRNA (sequencing only)	21Fa (400nM)- TTCCGGTTGATCCYGCCGGA 1492R (400nM)- GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT	94°C for 120sec. 30 cycles of 94°C for 30sec 47°C for 60sec 72°C for 60sec Final extension of 72°C for 600sec		<i>Ferroplasma acidarmonas</i>	(60)
Archaeal 16S rRNA	Arcmix1369F (1:1 mixture) (500nM)- CGGTGAATACGTCCCTGC and CGGTGAATATGCCCCCTGC Prok1541 (1000nM)- AAGGAGGTGATCCRGCCGCA	50°C for 120sec 94°C for 120sec 40 cycles of 94°C for 15sec 59°C for 60sec 80°C for 15sec (read)	98-105%	<i>F. acidarmonas</i> , <i>N. maritimus</i>	(74)
MG1 Crenarchaeota	GI-751F (500nM)- GTCTACCAGAACAYGTTC GI_956R (500nM)- HGGCGTTGACTCCAATG	95°C for 900sec 50 cycles of 94°C for 15sec 58°C for 30sec 72°C for 30sec 80°C for 15sec (read)	93-95%	<i>N. maritimus</i> , environmental clone	(47)
Francis et al. archaeal <i>amoA</i> (sequencing and qPCR)	amoAF (500nM)- STAATGGTCTGGCTTAGACG amoAR (500nM)- GCGGCCATCCATCTGTATGT	95°C for 300 30 cycles of 94°C for 30sec 53°C for 60sec 72°C for 60sec 80°C for 15sec (read)	93-95%	<i>N. maritimus</i> , environmental clone	(23)
Wuchter et al. archaeal <i>amoA</i>	archamoAF (500nM)- CTGAYTGGGCTTGACATC archamoR (500nM)- TTCTTCTTTGTTGCCAGTA	95°C for 300sec 35 cycles of 94°C for 30sec 61.5°C for 40sec 72°C for 40sec 80°C for 15sec (read)	95-96%	<i>N. maritimus</i> , environmental clone	(86)
Mincer et al. archaeal <i>amoA</i>	crenamoAmodF (500nM)- TGGCTAAGACGMTGTA crenamoA-Q-F (500nM)- GCARGTMGGWAARTTCTAYAA	95°C for 900sec 50 cycles of 94°C for 15sec 52°C for 30sec	95-96%	<i>N. maritimus</i> , environmental clone	(47)

Target Gene	Primer Names And Sequences	Thermal Program	qPCR Efficiency	Positive Control	Reference
	crenamoAmodR (500nM)- AAGCGGCCATCCATCTGTA	72°C for 30sec 80°C for 15sec (read)			
Treusch et al. archaeal <i>amoA</i>	Amo196F (1000nM)- GGWGTKCCRGRACWGCMAC Amo277R (1000nM)- CRATGAAGTCRTAHGGRTADC	95°C for 150sec 45 cycles of 95°C for 15sec 50°C for 40sec 80°C for 15sec (read)	90-91%	<i>N. maritimus</i> , Environmental clone	(80)
Bacterial <i>amoA</i>	amo189F (300nM)- GGHGACTGGGAYTTCTGG amoA2-R' (900nM)- CCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC	50°C for 120sec 95°C for 600sec 40 cycles of 95°C for 45 sec 55°C for 60sec 72°C for 45sec 80°C for 15sec (read)	96-97%	<i>Nitrosomonas</i> sp.	(52)

439

440




Figure 1. A) Map of Waquoit Bay (Waquoit, MA USA) with 0.6 m contours (including 3 and 6 m major contours) of the land surface. The location of the sediment and groundwater sampling, in relation to the boathouse (black box), at the mean high tide line, is denoted with “X”. B) A cartoon representing an idealized view of the groundwater system underlying the beach at

446 Waquoit Bay. Three major water layers are depicted: the surface salt zone, the freshwater layer
447 and the deep salt wedge. “X” shows the location of sampling in the high intertidal zone.




Figure 2. Groundwater chemistry at study site. A) Salinity, ammonium, nitrate, and oxygen concentrations in the groundwater at the time of coring. Three distinct parcels of water are shown: i) a saltwater surface zone (to about 1 m), with low oxygen and nitrate levels, ii) a persistent fresh groundwater that carries elevated oxygen and nitrate concentrations, and iii) a deep anoxic salt wedge that introduces ammonium in to the system. B) Depth distribution of

454 total bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene abundance. C) Abundance of MG1 16S rRNA and
455 archaeal *amoA* genes. D) Depth distribution of bacterial and archaeal *amoA* genes. Error bars
456 are calculated for panels as one standard deviation for all data points, some bars are hidden
457 within the data symbol.

458






Figure 3. Neighbor-joining tree, based on 1309 bp of the archaeal 16S rRNA gene. Type strains are represented with bold and italicized font. Sequences recovered from this study are in bold, with sequences that were repeatedly recovered marked with an asterisk (*). ARB neighbor joining methods, with Jukes-Cantor corrections and 1000 iteration of bootstrapping, were used for tree construction. Bootstrap values greater than 50% are shown.



469 Figure 4. Neighbor-joining tree of the archaeal *amoA* gene based on 529 bp (EU239959 positions
470 57-586) of unambiguous nucleotides. Clone libraries were constructed at six depths within the
471 sediment column using the Francis et al. (23) primer pair. Numbers within the wedges represent
472 the number of sequences included in that branch. ARB neighbor joining methods, with Jukes-
473 Cantor corrections and 1000 iteration for bootstrapping, were used for tree construction.
474 Bootstrap values greater than 50% are shown.
475

476



477

478

479


480

481

482

Supplemental Figure S1. Alignment of each of the archaeal *amoA* primer pairs used in the study to the reference sequences: Sargasso Sea WGS (84)(79)(75)(74)(73)(73) , Soil fosmid 54d9 (79), and *N. maritimus* genome (gene 1500, 35). Numbers beneath primer sequence represent alignment position relative to Sargasso Sea sequence. Mismatches to each of the three reference sequences are depicted above primer sequence.

483



484

485 Supplemental Figure S2. Archaeal *amoA* gene abundance, as determined by four published
486 primer sets (Table 1) are broadly consistent over the depth of the sediment column. However, the
487 Treusch et al. primer set underestimates *amoA* gene abundance entering the deep salt wedge.
488 Error bars represent one standard deviation from 3 replicate samples.

489

490 References

- 491 1. **Addy, K., A. Gold, B. Nowicki, J. McKenna, M. Stolt, and P. Groffman.** 2005.
492 Denitrification capacity in a subterranean estuary below a Rhode Island fringing salt
493 marsh. *Estuaries and Coasts* **28**:896-908.
- 494 2. **Beman, J., B. Popp, and C. Francis.** 2008. Molecular and biogeochemical evidence for
495 ammonia oxidation by marine Crenarchaeota in the Gulf of California. *The ISME Journal*
496 **2**:429-441.
- 497 3. **Beman, J. M., and C. A. Francis.** 2006. Diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and
498 bacteria in the sediments of a hypernutrified subtropical estuary: Bahia del Tobarí,
499 Mexico. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **72**:7767-7777.
- 500 4. **Benson, D. A., I. Karsch-Mizrachi, D. J. Lipman, J. Ostell, B. A. Rapp, and D. L.**
501 **Wheeler.** 2000. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Research* **28**:15-8.
- 502 5. **Bernhard, A., T. Donn, A. Giblin, and D. Stahl.** 2005. Loss of diversity of ammonia-
503 oxidizing bacteria correlates with increasing salinity in an estuary system. *Environmental*
504 *Microbiology* **7**:1289-1297.
- 505 6. **Bernhard, A. E., Z. C. Landry, A. Blevins, J. R. de la Torre, A. E. Giblin, and D. A.**
506 **Stahl.** 2010. Abundance of Ammonia-Oxidizing Archaea and Bacteria along an Estuarine
507 Salinity Gradient in Relation to Potential Nitrification Rates. *Applied and Environmental*
508 *Microbiology* **76**:1285-1289.
- 509 7. **Bone, S., M. Charette, C. Lamborg, and M. Gonneea.** 2007. Has submarine
510 groundwater discharge been overlooked as a source of mercury to coastal waters?
511 *Environmental Science & Technology* **41**:3090-3095.
- 512 8. **Caffrey, J. M., N. Bano, K. Kalanetra, and J. T. Hollibaugh.** 2007. Ammonia
513 oxidation and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea from estuaries with differing
514 histories of hypoxia. *ISME Journal* **1**:660-662.
- 515 9. **Cambareri, T., and E. Eichner.** 1998. Watershed delineation and ground water
516 discharge to a coastal embayment. *Ground Water* **36**:626-634.
- 517 10. **Charette, M., and E. Sholkovitz.** 2002. Oxidative precipitation of groundwater-derived
518 ferrous iron in the subterranean estuary of a coastal bay. *Geophysical Research Letters*
519 **29**:1444.
- 520 11. **Charette, M. A., K. O. Buesseler, and J. E. Andrews.** 2001. Utility of radium isotopes
521 for evaluating the input and transport of groundwater-derived nitrogen to a Cape Cod
522 estuary. *Limnology and Oceanography* **46**:465-470.
- 523 12. **Charette, M. A., and E. R. Sholkovitz.** 2006. Trace element cycling in a subterranean
524 estuary: Part 2. Geochemistry of the pore water. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*
525 **70**:811.
- 526 13. **Charette, M. A., E. R. Sholkovitz, and C. M. Hansel.** 2005. Trace element cycling in a
527 subterranean estuary: Part 1. Geochemistry of the permeable sediments. *Geochimica et*
528 *Cosmochimica Acta* **69**:2095.
- 529 14. **Chou, H.-H., and M. H. Holmes.** 2001. DNA sequence quality trimming and vector
530 removal. *Bioinformatics* **17**:1093-1104.
- 531 15. **Coolen, M. J. L., B. Abbas, J. van Bleijswijk, E. C. Hopmans, M. M. M. Kuypers, S.**
532 **G. Wakeham, and J. S. Sinninghe Damste.** 2007. Putative ammonia-oxidizing
533 Crenarchaeota in suboxic waters of the Black Sea: a basin-wide ecological study using

- 16S ribosomal and functional genes and membrane lipids. *Environmental Microbiology* **9**:1001-1016.
16. **Cox, R. D.** 1980. Determination of nitrate and nitrite at the parts per billion level by chemiluminescence. *Analytical Chemistry* **52**:332-335.
 17. **de la Torre, J. R., C. B. Walker, A. E. Ingalls, M. Konneke, and D. A. Stahl.** 2008. Cultivation of a thermophilic ammonia oxidizing archaeon synthesizing crenarchaeol. *Environmental Microbiology* **10**:810-818.
 18. **Demaneche, S., L. Jocteur-Monrozier, H. Quiquampoix, and P. Simonet.** 2001. Evaluation of Biological and Physical Protection against Nuclease Degradation of Clay-Bound Plasmid DNA. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **67**:293-299.
 19. **Dollhopf, S. L., J.-H. Hyun, A. C. Smith, H. J. Adams, S. O'Brien, and J. E. Kostka.** 2005. Quantification of Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria and Factors Controlling Nitrification in Salt Marsh Sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **71**:240-246.
 20. **Edwards, K. J., P. L. Bond, and J. F. Banfield.** 2000. Characteristics of attachment and growth of *Thiobacillus caldus* on sulfide minerals: A chemotactic response to sulfur minerals. *Environmental Microbiology* **2**:324-332.
 21. **Ewing, B., and P. Green.** 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using Phred. II. Error probabilities, p. 186-194, vol. 8. Cold Spring Harbor Lab.
 22. **Ewing, B., L. Hillier, M. Wendl, and P. Green.** 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using Phred. I. Accuracy assessment, p. 175-185, vol. 8. Cold Spring Harbor Lab.
 23. **Francis, C. A., K. J. Roberts, J. M. Beman, A. E. Santoro, and B. B. Oakley.** 2005. Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**:14683-14688.
 24. **Freitag, T., L. Chang, and J. Prosser.** 2006. Changes in the community structure and activity of betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing sediment bacteria along a freshwater-marine gradient. *Environmental Microbiology* **8**:684-696.
 25. **Garside, C.** 1982. A chemiluminescent technique for the determination of nanomolar concentrations of nitrate and nitrite in seawater. *Marine Chemistry* **11**:159-167.
 26. **Garside, C.** 1985. The vertical distribution of nitrate in open ocean surface water. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* **32**:723-732.
 27. **Gillan, D., and B. Danis.** 2007. The archaeobacterial communities in Antarctic bathypelagic sediments. *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **54**:1682-1690.
 28. **Giovannoni, S.** 1991. The polymerase chain reaction. *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*:177-203.
 29. **Hallam, S. J., T. J. Mincer, C. Schleper, C. M. Preston, K. Roberts, P. M. Richardson, and E. F. DeLong.** 2006. Pathways of carbon assimilation and ammonia oxidation suggested by environmental genomic analyses of marine crenarchaeota. *PLoS Biology* **4**:e95.
 30. **Hansel, C. M., S. Fendorf, P. M. Jardine, and C. A. Francis.** 2008. Changes in bacterial and archaeal community structure and functional diversity along a geochemically variable soil profile. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **74**:1620-1633.

- 578 31. **Head, I., W. Hiorns, T. Embley, and A. McCarthy.** 1993. The phylogeny of
579 autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria as determined by analysis of 16S ribosomal
580 RNA gene sequences. *Journal of General Microbiology* **139**:1147-1153.
- 581 32. **Holmes, A. J., A. Costello, M. E. Lidstrom, and J. Colin Murrell.** 1995. Evidence that
582 participate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be
583 evolutionarily related. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **132**:203-208.
- 584 33. **Ingalls, A., S. Shah, R. Hansman, L. Aluwihare, G. Santos, E. Druffel, and A.**
585 **Pearson.** 2006. Quantifying archaeal community autotrophy in the mesopelagic ocean
586 using natural radiocarbon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**:6442-
587 6447.
- 588 34. **Jenkins, M., and W. Kemp.** 1984. The coupling of nitrification and denitrification in
589 two estuarine sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography* **29**:609-619.
- 590 35. **Konneke, M., A. E. Bernhard, J. R. de la Torre, C. B. Walker, J. B. Waterbury, and**
591 **D. A. Stahl.** 2005. Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon.
592 *Nature* **437**:543.
- 593 36. **Krest, J., W. S. Moore, and L. Gardner.** 2000. Marsh nutrient export supplied by
594 groundwater discharge: Evidence from radium measurements. *Global Biogeochemical*
595 *Cycles* **14**:167-176.
- 596 37. **Kroeger, K. D., and M. A. Charette.** 2008. Submarine groundwater discharge: nitrogen
597 biogeochemistry of the discharge zone. *Limnology and Oceanography* **53**:1025-1039.
- 598 38. **Lam, P., G. Lavik, M. M. Jensen, J. van de Vossenberg, M. Schmid, D. Woebken, D.**
599 **Gutierrez, R. Amann, M. Jetten, and M. M. M. Kuypers.** 2009. Revising the nitrogen
600 cycle in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
601 *Sciences* **106**:4752-4757.
- 602 39. **LaMontagne, M., V. Astorga, A. Giblin, and I. Valiela.** 2002. Denitrification and the
603 stoichiometry of nutrient regeneration in Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts. *Estuaries and*
604 *Coasts* **25**:272-281.
- 605 40. **Leininger, S., T. Urich, M. Schloter, L. Schwark, J. Qi, G. W. Nicol, J. I. Prosser, S.**
606 **C. Schuster, and C. Schleper.** 2006. Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing
607 prokaryotes in soils. *Nature* **442**:806.
- 608 41. **Ludwig, W., O. Strunk, R. Westram, L. Richter, H. Meier, A. Buchner, T. Lai, S.**
609 **Steppi, G. Jobb, W. Förster, I. Brettske, I. Gerber, A. Ginhart, O. Gross, S.**
610 **Grumann, S. Hermann, R. Jost, A. König, T. Liss, R. Lüßmann, M. May, B.**
611 **Nonhoff, B. Reichel, R. Strehlow, A. Stamatakis, N. Stuckmann, A. Vilbig, M.**
612 **Lenke, T. Ludwig, A. Bode, and K.-H. Schleifer.** 2004. ARB: a software environment
613 for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**:1363-1371.
- 614 42. **Martens-Habbena, W., P. M. Berube, H. Urakawa, J. R. de la Torre, and D. A.**
615 **Stahl.** 2009. Ammonia oxidation kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying
616 Archaea and Bacteria. *Nature* **461**:976-979.
- 617 43. **McClelland, J., and I. Valiela.** 1998. Linking nitrogen in estuarine producer to land-
618 derived sources. *Limnology and Oceanography* **43**:577-585.
- 619 44. **McInerney, J.** 1997. Phylogenetic analysis of Group I marine archaeal rRNA sequences
620 emphasizes the hidden diversity within the primary group Archaea. *Proceedings of the*
621 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **264**:1663-1669.

45. **Michael, H., J. Lubetsky, and C. Harvey.** 2003. Characterizing submarine groundwater discharge: A seepage meter study in Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts. *Geophysical Research Letters* **30**:1297.
46. **Michael, H. A., A. E. Mulligan, and C. F. Harvey.** 2005. Seasonal oscillations in water exchange between aquifers and the coastal ocean. *Nature* **436**:1145-1148.
47. **Mincer, T. J., M. J. Church, L. T. Taylor, C. Preston, D. M. Karl, and E. F. DeLong.** 2007. Quantitative distribution of presumptive archaeal and bacterial nitrifiers in Monterey Bay and the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Environmental Microbiology* **9**:1162-1175.
48. **Moore, W., J. Blanton, and S. Joye.** 2006. Estimates of flushing times, submarine groundwater discharge, and nutrient fluxes to Okatee Estuary, South Carolina. *J. Geophys. Res* **111**.
49. **Moore, W. S.** 1999. The subterranean estuary: A reaction zone of ground water and sea water. *Marine Chemistry* **65**:111-125.
50. **Mosier, A. C., and C. A. Francis.** 2008. Relative abundance and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in the San Francisco Bay estuary. *Environmental Microbiology* **10**:3002-3016.
51. **Mulligan, A. E., and M. Charette.** 2006. Intercomparison of submarine groundwater discharge estimates from a sandy unconfined aquifer. *Journal of Hydrology* **327**:411-425.
52. **Okano, Y., K. R. Hristova, C. M. Leutenegger, L. E. Jackson, R. F. Denison, B. Gebreyesus, D. Lebauer, and K. M. Scow.** 2004. Application of real-time PCR to study effects of ammonium on population size of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**:1008-1016.
53. **Oldale, R.** 1976. Notes on the generalized geologic map of Cape Cod. . U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 76-765.
54. **Oldale, R., and C. O'Hara.** 1984. Glaciotectonic origin of the Massachusetts coastal end moraines and a fluctuating late Wisconsinan ice margin. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **95**:61.
55. **Ouverney, C. C., and J. A. Fuhrman.** 2000. Marine Planktonic Archaea Take Up Amino Acids. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **66**:4829-4833.
56. **Pai, S.-C., C.-C. Yang, and J. P. Riley.** 1990. Formation kinetics of the pink azo dye in the determination of nitrite in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **232**:345-349.
57. **Paytan, A., G. Shellenbarger, J. Street, M. Gonneea, K. Davis, M. Young, and W. Moore.** 2006. Submarine groundwater discharge: An important source of new inorganic nitrogen to coral reef ecosystems. *Limnology and Oceanography* **51**:343-348.
58. **Prosser, J.** 1989. Autotrophic nitrification in bacteria. *Adv. Microb. Physiol* **30**:125-181.
59. **Purkhold, U., A. Pommerening-Roser, S. Juretschko, M. C. Schmid, H.-P. Koops, and M. Wagner.** 2000. Phylogeny of All Recognized Species of Ammonia Oxidizers Based on Comparative 16S rRNA and amoA Sequence Analysis: Implications for Molecular Diversity Surveys. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66**:5368-5382.
60. **Reysenbach, A., L. Giver, G. Wickham, and N. Pace.** 1992. Differential amplification of rRNA genes by polymerase chain reaction. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **58**:3417-3418.
61. **Risgaard-Petersen, N.** 2003. Coupled nitrification-denitrification in autotrophic and heterotrophic estuarine sediments: On the influence of benthic microalgae. *Limnology and Oceanography* **48**:93-105.

62. **Romanowski, G., M. Lorenz, and W. Wackernagel.** 1991. Adsorption of plasmid DNA to mineral surfaces and protection against DNase I. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **57**:1057.
63. **Rouxel, O., E. Sholkovitz, M. Charette, and K. J. Edwards.** 2008. Iron isotope fractionation in subterranean estuaries. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **72**:3413-3430.
64. **Santoro, A. E., K. L. Casciotti, and C. A. Francis.** 2010. Activity, abundance and diversity of nitrifying archaea and bacteria in the central California Current. *Environmental Microbiology* **12**:1989-2006.
65. **Santoro, A. E., C. A. Francis, N. R. de Sieyes, and A. B. Boehm.** 2008. Shifts in the relative abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea across physicochemical gradients in a subterranean estuary. *Environmental Microbiology* **10**:1068-1079.
66. **Schauss, K., A. Focks, S. Leininger, A. Kotzerke, H. Heuer, S. Thiele-Bruhn, S. Sharma, B.-M. Wilke, M. Matthies, K. Smalla, J. C. Munch, W. Amelung, M. Kaupenjohann, M. Schlöter, and C. Schleper.** 2009. Dynamics and functional relevance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in two agricultural soils. *Environmental Microbiology* **11**:446-456.
67. **Schippers, A., and L. N. Neretin.** 2006. Quantification of microbial communities in near-surface and deeply buried marine sediments on the Peru continental margin using real-time PCR. *Environmental Microbiology* **8**:1251-1260.
68. **Sham, C. H., J. W. Brawley, and M. A. Moritz.** 1995. Quantifying septic nitrogen loadings to receiving waters: Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* **9**:463-473.
69. **Sinigalliano, C., D. Kuhn, and R. Jones.** 1995. Amplification of the *amoA* gene from diverse species of ammonium-oxidizing bacteria and from an indigenous bacterial population from seawater. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **61**:2702.
70. **Solorzano, L.** 1969. Determination of Ammonia in Natural Waters by the Phenylhypochlorite Method. *Limnology and Oceanography* **14**:799-801.
71. **Spang, A., R. Hatzenpichler, C. Brochier-Armanet, T. Rattei, P. Tischler, E. Spieck, W. Streit, D. A. Stahl, M. Wagner, and C. Schleper.** 2010. Distinct gene set in two different lineages of ammonia-oxidizing archaea supports the phylum Thaumarchaeota. *TRENDS in Microbiology* **18**:331-340.
72. **Spiteri, C., C. Slomp, M. Charette, K. Tuncay, and C. Meile.** 2008. Flow and nutrient dynamics in a subterranean estuary (Waquoit Bay, MA, USA): field data and reactive transport modeling. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **72**:3398-3412.
73. **Spiteri, C., C. Slomp, K. Tuncay, and C. Meile.** 2008. Modeling biogeochemical processes in subterranean estuaries: Effect of flow dynamics and redox conditions on submarine groundwater discharge of nutrients. *Water Resources Research* **44**.
74. **Suzuki, M. T., L. T. Taylor, and E. F. DeLong.** 2000. Quantitative analysis of small-subunit rRNA genes in mixed microbial populations via 5'-nuclease assays. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **66**:4605-4614.
75. **Takai, K., D. P. Moser, M. DeFlaun, T. C. Onstott, and J. K. Fredrickson.** 2001. Archaeal diversity in waters from deep South African gold mines. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **67**:5750-5760.
76. **Talbot, J. M., K. D. Kroeger, A. Rago, M. C. Allen, and M. A. Charette.** 2003. Nitrogen flux and speciation through the subterranean estuary of Waquoit Bay,

- Massachusetts. Biological Bulletin, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole **205**:244-245.
77. **Testa, J. M., M. A. Charette, E. R. Sholkovitz, M. C. Allen, A. Rago, and C. W. Herbold.** 2002. Dissolved Iron Cycling in the Subterranean Estuary of a Coastal Bay: Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts. Biological Bulletin, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole **203**:255-256.
 78. **Tomasky, G., J. Barak, I. Valiela, P. Behr, L. Soucy, and K. Foreman.** 1999. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton growth in Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts, USA: a nutrient enrichment study. Aquatic Ecology **33**:147-155.
 79. **Treusch, A., A. Kletzin, G. Raddatz, T. Ochsenreiter, A. Quaiser, G. Meurer, S. Schuster, and C. Schleper.** 2004. Characterization of large-insert DNA libraries from soil for environmental genomic studies of Archaea. Environmental Microbiology **6**:970-980.
 80. **Treusch, A. H., S. Leininger, A. Kletzin, S. C. Schuster, H.-P. Klenk, and C. Schleper.** 2005. Novel genes for nitrite reductase and Amo-related proteins indicate a role of uncultivated mesophilic crenarchaeota in nitrogen cycling. Environmental Microbiology **7**:1985.
 81. **Valiela, I., J. Costa, K. Foreman, J. Teal, B. Howes, and D. Aubrey.** 1990. Transport of groundwater-borne nutrients from watersheds and their effects on coastal waters. Biogeochemistry **10**:177-197.
 82. **Valiela, I., K. Foreman, M. LaMontagne, D. Hersh, J. Costa, P. Peckol, B. DeMeo-Andreson, C. D'Avanzo, M. Babione, C.-H. Sham, J. Brawley, and K. Lajtha.** 1992. Couplings of watersheds and coastal waters: Sources and consequences of nutrient enrichment in Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts. Estuaries **15**:443-457.
 83. **van der Wielen, P. W. J. J., S. Voost, and D. van der Kooij.** 2009. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in groundwater treatment and drinking water distribution systems. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **75**:4687-4695.
 84. **Venter, J. C., K. Remington, J. F. Heidelberg, A. L. Halpern, D. Rusch, J. A. Eisen, D. Wu, I. Paulsen, K. E. Nelson, W. Nelson, D. E. Fouts, S. Levy, A. H. Knap, M. W. Lomas, K. Nealon, O. White, J. Peterson, J. Hoffman, R. Parsons, H. Baden-Tillson, C. Pfannkoch, Y.-H. Rogers, and H. O. Smith.** 2004. Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. Science **304**:66-74.
 85. **Walker, C. B., J. R. d. I. Torre, M. G. Klotz, H. Urakawa, N. Pinel, D. J. Arp, C. Brochier-Armanet, P. S. G. Chain, P. P. Chan, A. Gollabgir, J. Hemp, M. Hügler, E. A. Karr, M. Könneke, M. Shin, T. J. Lawton, T. Lowe, W. Martens-Habbena, L. A. Sayavedra-Soto, D. Lang, S. M. Sievert, A. C. Rosenzweig, G. Manning, and D. A. Stahl.** 2010. *Nitrosopumilus maritimus* genome reveals unique mechanisms for nitrification and autotrophy in globally distributed marine crenarchaea. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **107**:8818-8823.
 86. **Wuchter, C., B. Abbas, M. J. L. Coolen, L. Herfort, J. van Bleijswijk, P. Timmers, M. Strous, E. Teira, G. J. Herndl, J. J. Middelburg, S. Schouten, and J. S. Sinninghe Damste.** 2006. Archaeal nitrification in the ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **103**:12317-12322.
 87. **Zhang, C. L., Q. Ye, Z. Huang, W. Li, J. Chen, Z. Song, W. Zhao, C. Bagwell, W. P. Inskeep, C. Ross, L. Gao, J. Wiegel, C. S. Romanek, E. L. Shock, and B. P. Hedlund.**

759 2008. Global Occurrence of Archaeal amoA Genes in Terrestrial Hot Springs. Applied
760 and Environmental Microbiology **74**:6417-6426.
761
762